

HSW Risk Assessment - Structures Test Laboratory

For additional information refer to HS***Risk Management Procedure

Document Number: RA10

Faculty/ Service Division: Faculty of Engineering

School/Department: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

HSW Risk: Uncontrolled Risk is Extreme, Controlled is Moderate

Assessment date: 08 Jun 15

Form completed by: R.A. Powell, HSW Manager Signature:

Date:

Responsible Line Manager: Dr R. Henry
Signature:
Date:

Description of activity and/or location:

Specimen construction and testing.

Potential Hazards – Structure collapse, work at height, manual handling.

Potential Harm – Death, Strain/Sprain injuries, Shoulder injuries, Lower/Upper back injuries, Crushing

Injury, Bruising, Fractures, Dislocation



CEE RISK ASSESSMENT 10		
Establishment:	Assessment by:	Date:
Structures Test Laboratory	R.A. Powell	08 Jun 15
Review Date:	Approved by:	Date:
08 Jun 16		

WORK ACTIVITY

Specimen construction and testing.

Reference/s

Guidelines For The Provision Of Facilities And General Safety In The Construction Industry — October 1995

				Risk F	Rating:	(C) Consequence x (L) Likelihood = (R) Rating
Hazard / Risk	Who is at	Normal Control Measures	Ri	sk Rat	ting	Additional Control Measures Required
	Risk?	(Brief description and/or reference to source of information).	С		R	(To take account of local/individual circumstances).
Untrained/unsafe	• Staff	Construction equipment must not	4	1	4	
personnel	• Students	 be used by personnel who have not passed the appropriate operator or supervisor level training. Operators must be in a fit state to operate the equipment and not impaired by drugs, alcohol or fatigue. Operators are to comply with Safe Work Instructions relevant to the equipment. 				



Hazard / Risk	W	ho is at		Normal Control Measures	Ris	k Rat	ing	Additional Control Measures Required			
Ris		Risk?		(Brief description and/or reference to source of information).			R	(To take account of local/individual circumstances).			
Inadequate Planning	 Staff Students Visitors 		•	Construction and deconstruction plans to be developed prior to specimen construction. Notifiable work is to be avoided where possible. If the construction requires notifiable work to be carried out, WorksafeNZ is to be notified via email.	2			 Construction plan is to include: Design of the test setup. Erection/construction sequence. Prediction of test specimen response and consideration of all possible modes of failure. Support and catch frames when required Activities that may comprise notifiable work include: Work in which a risk arises that any person may fall five metres or more The erection or dismantling of scaffolding from which a person may fall five metres or more; Work using a lifting appliance where the appliance has to lift a mass of 500 kg or more a vertical distance of five metres or more, other than work using an excavator, forklift, or self-propelled mobile crane. 			
Unsafe equipment • Staff • Students		•	All equipment is to be inspected by the operator before the first use each day it is operated. Non-conformities to be reported to supervisor and rectified before being used.	4	1	4					
Construction General Hazards – Noise, Dust.	•	Staff Students Visitors	•	Work methods that produce less noise and dust to be used where possible. PPE appropriate to the task to be worn by all personnel.	2	2	4	 Given that most construction methods cause noise and dusts to be produced, minimisation may be the primary method of control. Non- essential personnel should observe from afar, preferably from the control room or mezzanine. 			



Hazard / Risk	Who is at	Normal Control Measures	Ris	k Rat	ting	Additional Control Measures Required			
	Risk?	(Brief description and/or reference to source of information).		C L R		(To take account of local/individual circumstances).			
Cement	StaffStudentsVisitors	Skin Disease: Avoid skin contact Use Barrier creams Wear appropriate clothing and PPE Shower after work if cement dust is on the skin Ensure eye wash facilities are available. Respiratory problems: Ensure dust masks are used if cement dust could be inhaled. Physical injury/engulfment: Ensure boxing and pouring methods do not endanger personnel.	2	2	4	 Under certain conditions freshly mixed concrete or mortar can cause ulceration of the skin (cement burn), but this is rare. Any special health precautions which are recommended by the manufacturer of the cement or related materials should be followed. Employees' skin and eyes both need to be protected from contact with cement. Contact should be avoided as much as possible. The use of a barrier cream may give some protection if contact is kept to a minimum. Protective clothing should be worn where appropriate. This should include long sleeves, full length trousers, gloves, and suitable footwear. There should be facilities for washing the body and changing clothes, in accordance with part 1 of these guidelines. If cement dust or mixture gets into the eyes, they should be washed out immediately with cold water. If the irritation persists, medical attention should be sought. Respiratory protective equipment should be worn during treatment of hardened concrete where dust is created. 			



Hazard / Risk		Who is at		Normal Control Measures	Ris	sk Rat	ing	Additional Control Measures Required
		sk?	(E	Brief description and/or reference to source of information).	С	L	R	(To take account of local/individual circumstances).
Construction Crane use and people being struck by falling objects	•	Staff Students Visitors	•	A Safe Exclusion Zone must be established around any construction activity where cranes are being used or heavy objects are being lifted. Cranes must be used in accordance with the Crane Risk Management Plan.	4	1	4	
Construction Collision with mobile plant and equipment;	•	Staff Students Visitors	•	 A pre-work brief to establish role and responsibilities is to b conducted before the operation of mobile plant takes place. 		1	4	
Construction Working at Height	•	Staff Students	•			1	4	



Hazard / Risk Who is at Risk?		ho is at	Normal Control Measures (Brief description and/or reference to source of information).			Ris	sk Rat	ting	Additional Control Measures Required
		sk?				С	C L		(To take account of local/individual circumstances).
Construction People harmed by cutting equipment.	•	Staff Students	conducted in accordance with the Welding risk management plan. • Mechanical cutters must be used in accordance with manufacturers' recommendations. These include, but are not limited to: o Operators trained and authorised. o Appropriate PPE to be worn. o Work shall not be cut in such a way that it will fall		4	1	4		
Construction Manual Handling	•	Staff Students	•	 where possible. Obtain assistance when lifting or moving heavy objects. Use dunnage when placing heavy objects on the ground to minimise crush injuries. 		2	2	4	



Hazard / Risk	Who is at	Normal Control Measures	Risk Rat	ting	Additional Control Measures Required			
Risk?		(Brief description and/or reference to source of information).	C L R		(To take account of local/individual circumstances).			
Construction Post tensioning	StaffStudents	 Leather working gloves to be worn Fingers and hands to be kept clear of pinch points Personnel must never stand behind the stressing jack or post tensioning anchorage Personnel must never overstress the post tensioning tendon Personnel must use the correct nuts / wedges 	4 1	4	Post-tensioning loads and bar sizes to be determined during test planning.			
Testing Collapse of structures	StaffStudentsVisitors	 Prior to test activation: All personnel to be briefed on expected duration, effects, and emergency management. Barriers to be erected around the test. All personnel are to be accounted for and relocated to a safe location. Entrances to be secured. Persons are not to approach unsound structures.	4 1	4	 Students may be required to approach unsound structures as part of the experiment. Prior to this occurring, a suitably experienced staff member must assess whether it is safe to do so. A clear point of escape must be available, and the structure should only be touched if it is deemed safe to do so by an experienced staff member. Remote methods of observation (such as using a drone/crane mounted camera) should be considered in the first instance. 			



Action Plan

Management agreed	Resources			Action Complete		
additional control measures to be implemented	Required	Responsible Person	Target Date	Completion Date	Responsible Line Manager Signature	Date

Review

Review Details	Comments
Scheduled Review Date	
Are all control measures in place?	
Are controls eliminating or minimising the risk?	
Are there any new problems with the risk?	
Review By: (name)	
Review Date:	



HSW Risk Assessment Matrix

_	Very likely Probably expect the event to occur in most circumstances	Moderate (4)	High (8)	Extreme (12)	Extreme (16)					
Likelihood level	Likely Event likely to occur at least once over the coming year	Moderate (3)	High (6)	High (9)	Extreme (12)					
ikeliho	Possible Event may occur at some time	Low (2)	Moderate (4)	High (6)	High (8)					
	Unlikely Occurrence is conceivable, but not expected to occur	Low (1)	Low (2)	Moderate (3)	Moderate (4)					
		Minor	Moderate	Major	Severe					
		1	2	3	4					
		Consequence level								
tion	Harm to People Potential for injury or death	None or trivial / negligible injury (no or slight injury which requires localised first aid)	Minor injury (illness or injury is not serious, medical treatment required)	Serious injury (serious injury or illness, hospitalisation required)	Fatality, major injury (death, permanent disablement, or significant long-term illness)					
descript	People Affected Extent of people potentially affected	None or few (e.g. 0 to 2)	Small numbers (e.g. 3 to 10)	Moderate numbers (e.g. 10 to 50)	Wide scale (e.g. more than 50)					
Consequence description	Reputation and Legal Potential for publicity with a negative impact on reputation / potential for	None or issue raised by staff or students and resolved promptly by management	Internal scrutiny to prevent escalation and short-term stakeholder concern	Medium-term stakeholder concern, national media scrutiny and 'brand' impact	Persistent stakeholder concerns, international media scrutiny and long term 'brand' impact					
Con	legal prosecution	None or legal dispute – found not guilty – fines up to \$x	Minor non-compliance, limited notification to regulators / affected stakeholders	Medium non-compliance, moderate notification to regulators / affected stakeholder, potential for legal	Significant non-compliance, extensive notification to regulators / affected stakeholders, potential for legal proceedings / imprisonment /					



			proceedings / fines	fines
Operations Extent of ability to maintain core	None or business interruption < 4 hours	Business interruption between 4 hours to 5 days	Business interruption > 5 days	Business interruption of many weeks
business	None or effectiveness and efficiency of a service, programme or project impacted in the short term	Operational disruption manageable by workarounds	Medium operational impact resulting in delay of key deliverables	Breakdown of key activities and significant long-term impact
	None or slight damage to property or equipment	Moderate damage to property or equipment	Major damage to property or equipment	Massive damage to property or equipment
Environment Extent of negative impacts on the environment	None or minimal impact	Minor short-term or intermittent impact, able to be contained with specialist assistance	Serious, medium-term detrimental impact	Very serious, long-term or permanent damage
	None or clean up expenses up to \$25,000	Clean up expenses up between \$25,000 to \$1m	Clean up expenses up between \$1m - \$5m	Clean up expenses > \$5m

Consider the Likelihood

Consider: How often is the task done? Has an accident happened before (here or at another workplace)? How long are people exposed? How effective are the control measures? Does the environment affect it (e.g. light, temperature, space)? What are people's behaviours (e.g. stress, panic, deadlines)? What people are exposed (e.g. disabled, young students, etc)?

Consider the Consequences

Consider: What type of harm could occur (minor, serious, death)? Is there anything that will influence the severity (e.g. proximity to hazard, person involved in task, etc)? How many people are exposed to the hazard? Could one failure lead to other failures? Could a small event escalate?

Calculate the Risk

The final score for each risk is calculated by multiplying the likelihood and consequences response scores. This will give a risk score of between 1 and 16.

All risks rates as "High" or "Extreme" require detailed analysis of mitigating practices / controls to determine the residual risk rating.



"Low" and "Moderate" risks may be excluded from further analysis (other than when the consequence may be severe), however the rationale for excluding these risks should be documented to demonstrate the completeness of analysis undertaken.

Other than in the most unlikely circumstance, risks that can cause major or severe harm to people have been determined as "high" or "extreme". Management review is considered appropriate for risks of these nature due to the potential magnitude of the impact, even though the likelihood may be assessed as relatively low.

Risk Priority - Legend

Extreme (12-16)	Intolerable risk. Immediate action(s) is to be taken by Faculty/Service HSW risk owners - including DVCs, Deans of Faculties, Directors of Services, Academic Heads/PIs, Services Managers. Work should not be started or continued until the risk has been reduced to as low as reasonably practicable using the hierarchy of risk controls. The Associate Director Health, Safety and Wellbeing, and Manager Risk and Performance must be advised of the risk for their review. The risk should be included in the UoA wide risk register.
High (6-9)	Should not be tolerated. Urgent action is to be taken by the immediate manager. Work should not be started or continued until the risk has been reduced to as low as reasonably practicable using the hierarchy of risk controls. The HSW Manager working with the Faculty/Service, and Manager Risk and Performance must be advised of the risk for their review. To be included in the UoA wide risk register.
Moderate (3-4)	Management to monitor risks in case changing circumstances increase the level of risk. Some action may be required, e.g. improving controls.
Low (1-2)	Requires no attention above routine practices and procedures, apart from monitoring.

Note: This proposed Health and Safety Risk Assessment Matrix aligns with WorkSafe NZ guidance, UoA Resilience Management Plan, UoA Risk Determination Matrix, UoA TVRA and UoA Incident Levels