

# HSW Risk Assessment – Structures Test Laboratory

For additional information refer to HS\*\*\*Risk Management Procedure

Document Number: RA2	
Faculty/ Service Division: Faculty of Engineering	
School/Department: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering	
HSW Risk: Uncontrolled Risk is High, Controlled is Moderate	
Assessment date: 08 Jun 15	
Form completed by: R.A. Powell, HSW Manager	Signature: Date:
Responsible Line Manager: Dr R. Henry	Signature: Date:
<b>Description of activity and/or location:</b> Forklift use within Structures Test Laboratory	
Potential Hazards – Vehicles, manual handling, falling objects. Potential Harm – Death, Strain/Sprain injuries, Shoulder injuries, Lower/Upper back injuries, Crushing Injury, Bruising, Fractures, Dislocation	



CEE RISK ASSESSMENT 2		
Establishment:	Assessment by:	Date:
Structures Test Laboratory	R.A. Powell	08 Jun 15
Review Date:	Approved by:	Date:
8 Jun 16		

### WORK ACTIVITY

Forklift use within Structures Test Laboratory

### Reference/s

Approved Code Of Practice For Training Operators And Instructors Of Powered Industrial Lift Trucks

		Ri	sk Ra	ating:	(C) Co	nsequence x (L) Likelihood = (R) Rating
Hazard / Risk	Who is at Risk?	Normal Control Measures (Brief description and/or reference to source of information).		Risk Rati	R	Additional Control Measures Required (To take account of local/individual circumstances).
Unlicensed/unauthorised/unsafe operator	<ul> <li>Staff</li> <li>Visitors</li> <li>Students</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Forklift operators must hold a current 'F' drivers licence endorsement.</li> <li>Licenced forklift operator must be able to competently operate the forklift being used.</li> <li>Licenced.operator must be in a fit state to operate the forklift and not impaired by drugs, alcohol or fatigue.</li> <li>Licenced.operators are to comply with Safe Work Instructions relevant to the equipment.</li> <li>Keys removed when no licenced operators present.</li> </ul>		1	4	



Hazard / Risk	Who is at Risk?				ing R	Additional Control Measures Required (To take account of local/individual circumstances).
Unsafe equipment	<ul><li>Staff</li><li>Students</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Forklift to be inspected by licenced operator before the first use each day it is operated.</li> <li>Non-conformities to be reported to supervisor and rectified before being used.</li> </ul>	4	1	4	
Collision with person/other vehicle/other stationary object.	<ul> <li>Staff</li> <li>Visitors</li> <li>Students</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Licenced.operators to look in the direction of travel and keep a clear view of the way ahead. (If vision is obscured, seek assistance or travel in reverse.)</li> <li>Licenced.operators are to be aware of the effects of tail swing.</li> <li>Licenced.operators are not to exceed walking pace within the lab.</li> <li>Licenced.operators to sound horn when entering/leaving entrances and exits or when approaching a blind corner.</li> <li>Licenced.operators to lower forks to 150mm (6 inches) above the ground when a forklift truck is travelling without a load.</li> <li>Licenced.operators to ensure flashing light is switched on when forklift in use.</li> <li>Pedestrians and other workers to stand well clear of operating forklifts.</li> </ul>	4	1	4	



Hazard / Risk	Who is at	Normal Control Measures	Risk Rat	ing	Additional Control Measures Required
	Risk?	(Brief description and/or reference to source of information).	CLR		(To take account of local/individual circumstances).
Forklift truck tip over.	<ul><li>Staff</li><li>Students</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Operator to wear a seat belt.</li> <li>Maximum load is not to be exceeded.</li> <li>Loads to be carried close to mast and low to ground.</li> <li>Side loading of the forks is to be minimised.</li> <li>Speed with elevated loads to be minimised.</li> </ul>	4 1	4	
Falling objects.	<ul> <li>Staff</li> <li>Visitors</li> <li>Students</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Forks to be properly positioned under load.</li> <li>Load to be stable, or amalgamated onto pallets and pallet wrapped.</li> <li>Personnel to avoid being near forklift when loads are elevated.</li> <li>All personnel to wear hard hats during forklift operations.</li> </ul>	4 1	4	



Hazard / Risk	W	ho is at		Normal Control Measures	R	lisk Rat	ing	Additional Control Measures Required
	Ris	sk?	(	Brief description and/or reference to	С	L	R	(To take account of local/individual
				source of information).				circumstances).
Injuries to personnel on forklift	•	Staff	٠	Operator to keep limbs within the	4	1	4	
	•	Students		confines of the forklift.				
			٠	Operator to mount/dismount using				
				three points of contact.				
			٠	Passengers are not permitted to be				
				carried.				
			٠	Personnel must not be lifted on				
				forks unless they are within a cage				
				that complies with NZS 5426.				



## **Action Plan**

Management agreed	Resources		Action By	Action Complete		
additional control measures to be implemented	Required	Responsible Person	Target Date	Completion Date	Responsible Line Manager Signature	Date

## Review

Review Details	Comments
Scheduled Review Date	
Are all control measures in place?	
Are controls eliminating or minimising the risk?	
Are there any new problems with the risk?	
Review By: (name)	
Review Date:	



## HSW Risk Assessment Matrix

	4	Very likely Probably expect the event to occur in most circumstances	Moderate (4)	High (8)	Extreme (12)	Extreme (16)				
Likelihood level	3	<b>Likely</b> Event likely to occur at least once over the coming year	Moderate (3)	High (6)	High (9)	Extreme (12)				
.ikeliho	2	<b>Possible</b> Event may occur at some time	Low (2)	Moderate (4)	High (6)	High (8)				
	1	Unlikely Occurrence is conceivable, but not expected to occur	Low (1)	Low (2)	Moderate (3)	Moderate (4)				
			Minor	Moderate	Major	Severe				
			1	2	3	4				
			Consequence level							
tion		<b>irm to People</b> tential for injury or death	None or trivial / negligible injury (no or slight injury which requires localised first aid)	Minor injury (illness or injury is not serious, medical treatment required)	Serious injury (serious injury or illness, hospitalisation required)	Fatality, major injury (death, permanent disablement, or significant long-term illness)				
descrip		ople Affected tent of people potentially affected	None or few (e.g. 0 to 2)	Small numbers (e.g. 3 to 10)	Moderate numbers (e.g. 10 to 50)	Wide scale (e.g. more than 50)				
Consequence description	Ро	putation and Legal tential for publicity with a negative pact on reputation / potential for	None or issue raised by staff or students and resolved promptly by management	Internal scrutiny to prevent escalation and short-term stakeholder concern	Medium-term stakeholder concern, national media scrutiny and 'brand' impact	Persistent stakeholder concerns, international media scrutiny and long term 'brand' impact				
Cons	leg	al prosecution	None or legal dispute – found not guilty – fines up to \$x	Minor non-compliance, limited notification to regulators / affected stakeholders	Medium non-compliance, moderate notification to regulators / affected stakeholder, potential for legal	Significant non-compliance, extensive notification to regulators / affected stakeholders, potential for legal proceedings / imprisonment /				



			proceedings / fines	fines
<b>Operations</b> Extent of ability to maintain core	None or business interruption < 4 hours	Business interruption between 4 hours to 5 days	Business interruption > 5 days	Business interruption of many weeks
business	None or effectiveness and efficiency of a service, programme or project impacted in the short term	Operational disruption manageable by workarounds	Medium operational impact resulting in delay of key deliverables	Breakdown of key activities and significant long-term impact
	None or slight damage to property or equipment	Moderate damage to property or equipment	Major damage to property or equipment	Massive damage to property or equipment
<b>Environment</b> Extent of negative impacts on the environment	None or minimal impact	Minor short-term or intermittent impact, able to be contained with specialist assistance	Serious, medium-term detrimental impact	Very serious, long-term or permanent damage
	None or clean up expenses up to \$25,000	Clean up expenses up between \$25,000 to \$1m	Clean up expenses up between \$1m - \$5m	Clean up expenses > \$5m

#### **Consider the Likelihood**

**Consider:** How often is the task done? Has an accident happened before (here or at another workplace)? How long are people exposed? How effective are the control measures? Does the environment affect it (e.g. light, temperature, space)? What are people's behaviours (e.g. stress, panic, deadlines)? What people are exposed (e.g. disabled, young students, etc)?

#### **Consider the Consequences**

**Consider:** What type of harm could occur (minor, serious, death)? Is there anything that will influence the severity (e.g. proximity to hazard, person involved in task, etc)? How many people are exposed to the hazard? Could one failure lead to other failures? Could a small event escalate?

#### **Calculate the Risk**

The final score for each risk is calculated by multiplying the likelihood and consequences response scores. This will give a risk score of between 1 and 16.

All risks rates as "High" or "Extreme" require detailed analysis of mitigating practices / controls to determine the residual risk rating.



"Low" and "Moderate" risks may be excluded from further analysis (other than when the consequence may be severe), however the rationale for excluding these risks should be documented to demonstrate the completeness of analysis undertaken.

Other than in the most unlikely circumstance, risks that can cause major or severe harm to people have been determined as "high" or "extreme". Management review is considered appropriate for risks of these nature due to the potential magnitude of the impact, even though the likelihood may be assessed as relatively low.

### **Risk Priority - Legend**

Extreme (12-16)	Intolerable risk. Immediate action(s) is to be taken by Faculty/Service HSW risk owners - including DVCs, Deans of Faculties, Directors of Services, Academic Heads/PIs, Services Managers. Work should not be started or continued until the risk has been reduced to as low as reasonably practicable using the hierarchy of risk controls. The Associate Director Health, Safety and Wellbeing, and Manager Risk and Performance must be advised of the risk for their review. The risk should be included in the UoA wide risk register.
High (6-9)	Should not be tolerated. Urgent action is to be taken by the immediate manager. Work should not be started or continued until the risk has been reduced to as low as reasonably practicable using the hierarchy of risk controls. The HSW Manager working with the Faculty/Service, and Manager Risk and Performance must be advised of the risk for their review. To be included in the UoA wide risk register.
Moderate (3-4)	Management to monitor risks in case changing circumstances increase the level of risk. Some action may be required, e.g. improving controls.
Low (1-2)	Requires no attention above routine practices and procedures, apart from monitoring.

**Note:** This proposed Health and Safety Risk Assessment Matrix aligns with WorkSafe NZ guidance, UoA Resilience Management Plan, UoA Risk Determination Matrix, UoA TVRA and UoA Incident Levels