

HSW Risk Assessment – Structures Test Laboratory

For additional information refer to HS***Risk Management Procedure

Document Number: RA9	
Faculty/ Service Division: Faculty of Engineering	
School/Department: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering	
HSW Risk: Uncontrolled Risk is High, Controlled is Moderate	
Assessment date: 08 Jun 15	
Form completed by: R.A. Powell, HSW Manager	Signature: Date:
Responsible Line Manager: Dr R. Henry	Signature: Date:
Description of activity and/or location: Work in Confined Spaces – Wall and Basement entry.	
Potential Hazards – Confined Spaces Potential Harm – Asphyxiation, Crushing Injury, Bruising, Fractures, Dislocation	



CEE RISK ASSESSMENT 9		
Establishment:	Assessment by:	Date:
Structures Test Laboratory	R.A. Powell	08 Jun 15
Review Date:	Approved by:	Date:
08 Jun 16		

WORK ACTIVITY

Work in Confined Spaces – Wall and Basement entry.

Reference/s

AS/NZS 2865:2001 Safe Work in a Confined Space

					ating:	(C) consequence x (L) Likelinood – (K) Rating		
Hazard / Risk	Who is at	Normal Control Measures	Risk Rating		ing	Additional Control Measures Required		
	Risk?	(Brief description and/or reference to source of information).		CLR		(To take account of local/individual circumstances).		
Unsafe personnel	 Staff Students 	 Persons must not have injuries or conditions that may be aggravated by entering the confined areas. Persons must be in a fit state to operate the required equipment and not impaired by drugs, alcohol or fatigue. Clothing and PPE to be appropriate to task. Operators are to comply with Safe Work Instructions relevant to the equipment. 	2	1	2	Confined space definition (partial): An enclosed or partially enclosed space that is at atmospheric pressure during occupancy and is not intended or designed primarily as a place of work, and- (a) is liable at any time to- (i) have an atmosphere which contains potentially harmful levels of contaminant; (ii) have an oxygen deficiency or excess; or (iii) cause engulfment; and (b) could have restricted means for entry and exit.		

Risk Rating: (C) Consequence x (L) Likelihood = (R) Rating



Hazard / Risk		ho is at		Normal Control Measures	Ris	sk Rat	ing	Additional Control Measures Required	
	Risk?		(Brief description and/or reference to		С	C L R		(To take account of local/individual circumstances).	
			source of information).						
Equipment activation	•	Staff	٠	Entry into confined space to be	2	1	2	The person entering the confined space or a	
with persons in confined	٠	Students		approved by lab staff.				competent person authorized in writing should	
space.			٠	Entry to be logged on tag-in-tag				place a lock or tag, or both, on the open circuit	
				out board.				breaker or open isolating switch supplying electric	
			٠	All potentially harmful equipment				power to equipment with hazardous moving parts,	
				should be turned off prior to entry.				to indicate that a person is in a confined space and	
			٠	A safety stand-by person is to				that such isolation should not be removed until all	
				observe entry throughout.				persons have left the confined space.	
								When a lock is used, the key should be kept in the	
								possession of the person making entry or the	
								competent person.	
								Spare keys should not be accessible except in cases	
A and a wintig of frame		CL - ((4	1	4	of emergency.	
Asphyxiation from		Staff	•	Eliminate/pronibit sources of	4	T	4	 Any requirement to introduce such equipment 	
atmosphere	•	Students		contamination within the confined				will require additional controls, upgrading of	
atmosphere. space.					ventilation/air supply and a rescue plan.				
		o Gases.				 Inere may be a requirement for CO or CO2 maging in the becoment if MEM/D on other 			
0		• Weiding gear.				monitoring in the basement if MEWP, or other			
O Fuel powered machinery.					internal compusition powered machinery is				
O Dust producing equipment.		4	1	4	Uperated in the lab for extended periods				
Basement Hydraulic • Staff		•	Hyuraulic system is to be operated	4	T	4	 Hanus/arms must not be used to check for hydraulia looks due to the risk of fluid inication 		
ranure and fire	•	Students		as per the Hydraulic Equipment				nyuraulic leaks due to the risk of fluid injection	
	1			KISK IVIANAGEMENT PIAN.		1		trom nigh pressure leaks.	



Action Plan

Management agreed	Resources		Action By	Action Complete		
additional control measures to be implemented	Required	Responsible Person	Target Date	Completion Date	Responsible Line Manager Signature	Date

Review

Review Details	Comments
Scheduled Review Date	
Are all control measures in place?	
Are controls eliminating or minimising the risk?	
Are there any new problems with the risk?	
Review By: (name)	
Review Date:	



HSW Risk Assessment Matrix

	4	Very likely Probably expect the event to occur in most circumstances	Moderate (4)	High (8)	Extreme (12)	Extreme (16)
od level	3	Likely Event likely to occur at least once over the coming year	Moderate (3)	High (6)	High (9)	Extreme (12)
Likeliho	2	Possible Event may occur at some time	Low (2)	Moderate (4)	High (6)	High (8)
	1	Unlikely Occurrence is conceivable, but not expected to occur	Low (1)	Low (2)	Moderate (3)	Moderate (4)
			Minor	Moderate	Major	Severe
			1	2	3	4
				Conseque	ence level	
tion	Ha Pot	rm to People tential for injury or death	None or trivial / negligible injury (no or slight injury which requires localised first aid)	Minor injury (illness or injury is not serious, medical treatment required)	Serious injury (serious injury or illness, hospitalisation required)	Fatality, major injury (death, permanent disablement, or significant long-term illness)
descrip	Pe Ext	ople Affected ent of people potentially affected	None or few (e.g. 0 to 2)	Small numbers (e.g. 3 to 10)	Moderate numbers (e.g. 10 to 50)	Wide scale (e.g. more than 50)
isequence	Re Pot imp	putation and Legal tential for publicity with a negative pact on reputation / potential for al prosecution	None or issue raised by staff or students and resolved promptly by management	Internal scrutiny to prevent escalation and short-term stakeholder concern	Medium-term stakeholder concern, national media scrutiny and 'brand' impact	Persistent stakeholder concerns, international media scrutiny and long term 'brand' impact
Col			None or legal dispute – found not guilty – fines up to \$x	Minor non-compliance, limited notification to regulators / affected stakeholders	Medium non-compliance, moderate notification to regulators / affected stakeholder, potential for legal	Significant non-compliance, extensive notification to regulators / affected stakeholders, potential for legal proceedings / imprisonment /



			proceedings / fines	fines
Operations Extent of ability to maintain core	None or business interruption < 4 hours	Business interruption between 4 hours to 5 days	Business interruption > 5 days	Business interruption of many weeks
business	None or effectiveness and efficiency of a service, programme or project impacted in the short term	Operational disruption manageable by workarounds	Medium operational impact resulting in delay of key deliverables	Breakdown of key activities and significant long-term impact
	None or slight damage to property or equipment	Moderate damage to property or equipment	Major damage to property or equipment	Massive damage to property or equipment
Environment	None or minimal impact	Minor short-term or	Serious, medium-term	Very serious, long-term or
Extent of negative impacts on the environment		intermittent impact, able to be contained with specialist assistance	detrimental impact	permanent damage
	None or clean up expenses up to \$25,000	Clean up expenses up between \$25,000 to \$1m	Clean up expenses up between \$1m - \$5m	Clean up expenses > \$5m

Consider the Likelihood

Consider: How often is the task done? Has an accident happened before (here or at another workplace)? How long are people exposed? How effective are the control measures? Does the environment affect it (e.g. light, temperature, space)? What are people's behaviours (e.g. stress, panic, deadlines)? What people are exposed (e.g. disabled, young students, etc)?

Consider the Consequences

Consider: What type of harm could occur (minor, serious, death)? Is there anything that will influence the severity (e.g. proximity to hazard, person involved in task, etc)? How many people are exposed to the hazard? Could one failure lead to other failures? Could a small event escalate?

Calculate the Risk

The final score for each risk is calculated by multiplying the likelihood and consequences response scores. This will give a risk score of between 1 and 16.

All risks rates as "High" or "Extreme" require detailed analysis of mitigating practices / controls to determine the residual risk rating.



"Low" and "Moderate" risks may be excluded from further analysis (other than when the consequence may be severe), however the rationale for excluding these risks should be documented to demonstrate the completeness of analysis undertaken.

Other than in the most unlikely circumstance, risks that can cause major or severe harm to people have been determined as "high" or "extreme". Management review is considered appropriate for risks of these nature due to the potential magnitude of the impact, even though the likelihood may be assessed as relatively low.

Risk Priority - Legend

Extreme (12-16)	Intolerable risk. Immediate action(s) is to be taken by Faculty/Service HSW risk owners - including DVCs, Deans of Faculties, Directors of Services, Academic Heads/PIs, Services Managers. Work should not be started or continued until the risk has been reduced to as low as reasonably practicable using the hierarchy of risk controls. The Associate Director Health, Safety and Wellbeing, and Manager Risk and Performance must be advised of the risk for their review. The risk should be included in the UoA wide risk register.
High (6-9)	Should not be tolerated. Urgent action is to be taken by the immediate manager. Work should not be started or continued until the risk has been reduced to as low as reasonably practicable using the hierarchy of risk controls. The HSW Manager working with the Faculty/Service, and Manager Risk and Performance must be advised of the risk for their review. To be included in the UoA wide risk register.
Moderate (3-4)	Management to monitor risks in case changing circumstances increase the level of risk. Some action may be required, e.g. improving controls.
Low (1-2)	Requires no attention above routine practices and procedures, apart from monitoring.

Note: This proposed Health and Safety Risk Assessment Matrix aligns with WorkSafe NZ guidance, UoA Resilience Management Plan, UoA Risk Determination Matrix, UoA TVRA and UoA Incident Levels